

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,736	01/09/2007	Joachim Lohr	L7725.06116	5985
	7590 05/02/200 WRIGHT PLLC	EXAMINER		
1901 L STREE		PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1, 20 2000		2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/586,736	LOHR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chandrahas Patel	2616	

		Chandrahas Patel	2616					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.					
1. 🗵	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
nave unde set fo may	ssions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 OFR 1.17(b) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the rith in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	NDMENTS							
3. [⊻	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further core			cause				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,					
	 They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imaly filed amendmen	at canceling the				
	non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: 24-40.							
ΔFF	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. [See continuation sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. [Other:							

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616

/Ricky Ngo/

/Chandrahas Patel/

Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Continuation of 3: Applicant's amendment to claims 24 and 28 raise the consideration of new issues by adding the limitations "mobile station triggers a synchronous transmission of a retransmission data packet for said received data packet from the mobile station in reponse to a feedback message to the mobile station; and restricting a maximum uplink resource common to the plurality of mobile stations that each of the plurality of mobile stations is allowed to utilize for uplink transmissions on the uplink data channet." Applicant's amendment to claims 32 and 36 raise the consideration of new issues by adding the limitations "synchronously retransmitting the data sects to the base station after a fixed time span upon having received said feedback message". These new limitations were not in any of the dependent claims for claims 24, 28, 23 and 36.